### MANCHESTER A novel image analysis technique for 2D 1824 characterization of overlapping needle-like crystals

Petros Neoptolemou<sup>1</sup>, Nishank Goyal<sup>1</sup>, Aurora J Cruz Cabeza<sup>1</sup>, Anton A Kiss<sup>1</sup>, David J Milne<sup>2</sup>, Thomas Vetter<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering and Analytical Science, University of Manchester, The Mill, Sackville Street, Manchester M1 3AL, United Kingdom <sup>2</sup>Chemical Development, Pharmaceutical Technology & Development, Operations, AstraZeneca, Macclesfield SK10 2NA, United Kingdom

### 1. Introduction

The University of Mancheste

The size and shape of particles in powders significantly affect their processing and the quality of their end-products in a variety of industries [1-3]. Populations of needle-like particles can be successfully characterized using readily available imaging methods. However, most of them do not account for the overlap of needle-like particles in the images, which causes a bias in the size distribution. Building on previous methods [4,5], we have developed an algorithm that successfully separates three main overlapping cases of needle-like particles.

### 2. Objectives

- Establish an imaging device
- Create image-acquiring code
- Create the image processing software that will separately characterize needle-like particles

### 3. Methodology - Hardware

Following crystallization, the crystals are filtered, dried and dispersed on a transparent plate. The plate with the crystals is placed under a custom made microscope, which automatically acquires 2000 images.



Step 1 & 2: Partially-Crossed and Touching cases



# 4. Methodology - Software Classification: Three main overlapping cases Fully-Crossed Partially-Crosse Pre-processing step: From grayscale (a) Step 1: Line identification (a) to boundary lines (d) and merging (b) [4] а b.

Step 2: Object identification and reject duplicates.



Step 3: Length approximation Step 4: Watershed segmentation for touching case [5]



for partially-crossed case



## 5. Results – Algorithm performance



## Artificial overlap evaluation

The algorithm was tested by separating 500 binary particle projections (19000) that Each 5300 were artificially overlapped. population contains solely overlaps in bar pairs, trios or quintets. The contour plots 100 show a normalised particle size and shape 100 200 distribution at levels 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8. Width, µm







In the final evaluation, the sizes of the original particles were compared to those of the detected particles, one to one. Defining that a 10% relative error is acceptable, then at the highest overlap intensity tested, the length of 75% and width of 80% of the particles are correctly characterized.

### 6. References

[1] Shenoy, P. et al (2015) Powder Technology, 272, 165-172. [3] Perini, G. et al. (2019) Sep. Purif. Technol., 211, 768-781. [2] Gan, J. et al (2017) Powder Technology, 311, 157–166.

 [3] Perini, G. et al. (2019) Sep. Purif. Technol., 211, 768-781.
 [5] O.M.S. Ahmad et al (2012) J. Electron. Imaging 21 (2)

 [4] Larsen, P. A. et al (2006) Chem. Eng. Sci.. 61 (16), 5236-5248
 [6] Tavares, J. M. R. S. et al (1995) Proceedings of 7th. RECPAD

[7] Xiao, X. et al. (2014) J. Microsc., 258, 6-12.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank EPSRC, MSD and AstraZeneca for funding the PhD project of Petros Neoptolemou

petros.neoptolemou@manchester.ac.uk Crystallization Chemistry And Particle Process Engineering Research Group School of Chemical Engineering and Analytical Science The University of Manchester, UK